

December 23, 2016

City of Berlin City Council 168 Main Street Berlin, NH 03570

NCC is announcing the start of the biennial update of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) & Ten Year Plan (TYP) for Fiscal Years 2019-2028.

History of the TIP & Ten Year Plan:

Every two years, North Country Council requests feedback and input from local officials to reaffirm their support for already programmed transportation projects, or to nominate new projects to be considered for inclusion in the State of New Hampshire's 10 Year Transportation Improvement Program (Ten Year Plan). The projects nominated from the region are incorporated into the Regional Transportation Improvement Plan, and eligible projects are considered for inclusion in the State's Ten Year Plan. The State's Ten Year Plan has been evaluated and revised in a two-year planning cycle since the early 1990's in compliance with federal guidelines. Regional priorities for transportation improvements are compiled by each of New Hampshire's nine Regional Planning Commissions (RPC) for consideration by the NHDOT and the Governor and Executive Council to create a Statewide Ten Year Plan. (A brochure of the TYP process from previous cycles can be found at the following link: http://www.nh.gov/dot/org/projectdevelopment/planning/typ/documents/Ten_Year_Brochure.pdf

How to Reaffirm Support or Nominate a New Project:

Current Projects on the Ten Year Plan: If your community has existing projects listed on the current Ten Year Plan please provided a letter of local priorities to reaffirm your support for existing projects. If your community has more than one project currently in the Ten Year Plan please provide a prioritization of those projects in your letter. Please use the link below, or the list provided in this packet to determine what projects exist in your community.

NHDOT Ten Year Plan: https://www.nh.gov/dot/org/projectdevelopment/planning/typ/documents/ApprovalTYP-CompleteBook6.24.16.pdf

New Projects for consideration in the Ten Year Plan: To nominate a new transportation project for consideration in the 2019-2028 Ten Year Transportation Improvement Plan please complete the project proposal sheet in paper form, or online. Online Project Proposal sheets are available to complete, and are located here: https://goo.gl/forms/V9IHFL4JFOD7Maaw2

Communities must fill out and submit the Project Proposal sheet and letter of community priorities no later than Friday March 3rd. It is critical that your town's information is submitted by that deadline so the NCC Transportation Advisory Committee can review, score, and prioritize projects in time to meet the deadlines set by NHDOT.

Availability and Focus of Funding:

Federal and state transportation revenues have not kept pace with the demand for projects in New Hampshire. Therefore, there is a great deal of competition for limited funds. While NCC will work with each member community to consider the particular transportation needs in the region, NHDOT has informed NCC that it will heavily weight projects that address safety as a critical aspect of the state's transportation network during this cycle of the Ten Year Plan.

Despite the highly competitive nature of the Ten Year Plan process, communities nominating new projects should not hesitate to do so. Information on new projects can be included in the Regional Transportation Improvement Plan (RTIP). This process will introduce your new project into the "pipeline" and will improve your community's chances of eventually working its way into the TYP.

Guidance & Assistance with Proposals

Before completing the Project Proposal Form, please read through the enclosed supporting materials, and coordinate with other appropriate municipal officials as necessary. The supporting materials enclosed identify the criteria that will be used in project evaluation, and provide information on how to best complete the project proposal forms. For help with particular elements of a proposal, NCC staff are available to provide technical assistance in completing new project proposal sheets. Additionally, cost estimates could be done by engineers or possibly your local road agent. If you would like assistance, please contact Kaela Gray, kgray@nccouncil.org or at (603) 444-6303 ex. 12. Please note that because of the short deadline, it is important to ask for assistance ASAP as there are 51 communities in the NCC Planning Region.

Sincerely,

Yarla efacy Kaela Gray

Transportation Planner

CC(via email): NCC Commissioner

NCC TAC Member

City Manager

Community Development Director



2017 Update - General Guidelines NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Kaela Gray (NCC Planner) at kgray@nccouncil.org, or 603-444-6303 with questions You have the option of using an electronic project proposal form which is available at https://goo.gl/forms/RTLqCT4UdZAg1MgN2 contact

Project Proposal Form Step-by-Step Instructions and Guidance

Purpose and Need:

transportation deficiency or problem to be addressed and this should be defined first. The statement should help to: A Purpose and Need statement is a succinct description of the "what" and the "why" of a project. A project's "Need" is the identified

- Establish evidence of the current or expected transportation problem,
- Identify a problem that is solvable, establish justiciable project boundaries,
- Be used to develop and evaluate potential solutions,
- Be factual and quantifiable,
- Justify the commitment of limited resources and potential impacts on the environment

component of the statement should: A project's "Purpose" is the set of objectives that will be met to address the transportation deficiency as defined in the "Need." The purpose

- Present objectives to address the need,
- Be used to develop and evaluate potential solutions,
- Be achievable,
- Be unbiased and focused on a positive outcome,
- Be comprehensive enough to allow for a reasonable range of alternatives, and specific enough to limit the range of feasible
- Allows for a range of alternatives that are in context with the setting
- Focuses on multi-modal transportation system.



2017 Update - General Guidelines NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Why is a Purpose and Need Statement important?

objectives are, and potential solutions. Finally, it helps to streamline the process by identifying a scope and possible alternatives early, shaping right for the setting. It also ensures that all parties involved have a similar understanding of the transportation problem to be solved, what the limited funding. the public involvement process, and helps to both better understand the costs of a project as well as justify the project for programming of A well-defined purpose and need statement is important because it helps to avoid developing a project that does not solve the problem or is not

When should a Purpose and Need Statement be developed?

proposal towards a solution A purpose and need statement is developed in an ongoing process that becomes increasingly detailed as the project moves from the initia

Who should be developing the Purpose and Need Statement?

statement as this can bolster local support for the project, and identify specific issues of abutters and users of the facility. An example Purpose and Need Statement is included in Appendix C. communities, transit agencies, and NH DOT. It is also important to include the general public in the development of the Purpose and Need The Purpose and Need statement should be initially developed by the sponsoring community/agency with input from the RPC, involved

Proposal Description

transportation improvements. The Proposal Description should include the following: Proposal Description - The Regional Planning Commissions will review this description to determine the needs and benefits of the proposed

- Problem Statement. Describe the transportation concerns to be solved, which exist, or will exist, that require corrections as relating to the key areas below:
- a. Safety
- b. Mobility
- Congestion
- ii. Alternate mode of transportation



NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program 2017 Update - General Guidelines

iii. Transportation Land Use Connections

- c. Asset Condition
- d. Significance
- B. **Background** Describe the background in the areas below.
- a. General Characteristics. Describe circumstance that may have lead to the transportation concerns above, if applicable
- b. Previous Attempts to Solve Problem. Describe past and current efforts to resolve the problem and outcomes
- ņ Proposal Goals and Objectives - State the primary goals of the proposal and the objectives which you believe will help accomplish
- Ō Significant - Describe any state, regional or local transportation significance that could influence the selection of this proposal.

upporting Documentation and Evidence

Supporting documentation may include, but not be limited to: support for the proposed project. Reach out to NCC to assist with the data needed to complete this portion of the Project Proposal Form. date that justifies the proposed project, identify public involvement efforts related to the proposed project, and demonstrate community Provide supporting documentation as necessary to quantify existing conditions in the project area, summarize any planning work completed to

- local police department A. Crash Reports- Provide crash records for the project area for the most recent five-year period. These records are maintained by the
- the project area, contact your local Regional Planning Commission for data collection assistance B. Traffic Volume Data- Provide the most current traffic volume data available for the project area. If traffic volume is not available for
- Regional Planning Commission for data collection assistance hour turning movement data for the intersection. If turning movement data is not available for the project area, contact your local C. Turning Movement Data- If the proposed project involves improvements to an intersection; provide the most recent available peak-



NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program 2017 Update - General Guidelines

- Neighborhood Development Plan. Regional plans may include, but not be limited to, a Regional Planning Commission Regional Plan or Corridor Study. State plans may include, but not be limited to the NHDOT Long-Range Transportation Plan, State Rail Plan, or State Trails please provide a copy of the pertinent section(s) of that plan. Local plans may include, but not be limited to, a community Master Plan or D. Local/Regional/State Planning Justification- If the proposed project was identified in a local, regional, or state transportation plan,
- include, but not be limited to, Road Safety Audits or signalized intersection warrant analyses. E. Special Studies- If the proposed project was identified in a special study, please provide a copy of that study. Special Studies may
- during the course of project development. project, please summarize your proposed public involvement process and describe how you will provide opportunities for public input project, and summarize public input received to date related to the proposed project. If no public outreach has been conducted for the F. Public Outreach- Provide a summary of public outreach efforts completed to date related to the development of the proposed
- of each municipality directly affected by the project. If there are community organizations that have committed to assisting with the those organizations that document their commitment. implementation of the proposed project (e.g. through donations of time, expertise, or funding), please include letters of support from G. Letters of Support- Please provide letters of support for the proposed project from the governing body (i.e. Selectboard, City Council)
- provide a copy of the plan(s) or study(ies). benefits, including but not limited to, a Safe Routes to School Travel Plan or a local transit agency's TransitDevelopment Plan, please air, rail, or marine), please provide a summary of these multi-modal benefits. If there are pertinent plans or studies that documents these H. Multi-Modal Considerations- If the proposed project benefits multiple modes of travel (e.g. bicycling, walking, public transportation,



2017 Update - General Guidelines NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Implementation Considerations

- Cost estimate the cost of the proposal including its construction, engineering, and right of way acquisition. ***It is anticipated that and NCC will work with applicants to update the information as necessary *** updated unit cost information will be included with NHDOT Ten Year Plan guidance. For the time being, the values below can be utilized
- Typical unit costs construction
- Road resurfacing: \$100,000 / lane mile (assumes 16' wide x 1 ½" overlay)
- Road rehabilitation: \$500,000 / lane mile (assumes 16' wide x \$50/sy)
- New construction / reconstruction: \$1.5 million / lane mile (assumes 16' wide x \$150/sy)
- Widen shoulder: \$250,000 / mile (assumes 4' wide x \$100/sy)
- Install signals: \$250,000 (includes modest road work)
- Install roundabout: \$750,000 (1 lane); \$1.5 million (2 lanes)
- Typical unit cost engineering
- Assume 20% for construction ≤ \$1 million
- Assume 15% for construction >\$1 million
- Typical unit cost right of way: Accurate estimates of right of way costs at this early stage of project development are not possible; make an order of magnitude estimate based on the nature of the impacts
- 9 confined working area, high traffic volumes, difficult traffic control measures, etc.) the construction cost should be increased by 10% to 25%, as appropriate The construction cost estimate should also consider the complexity of the construction. In more challenging settings (e.g.



2017 Update - General Guidelines NCC Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Proposal Location & Project Maps:

could have impacts on the following: context of the surrounding area. Items from the following list should be included on the map if the proposed location is in close proximity to or location within the community or communities. The second map should provide more detail regarding the project location and extent in the Provide two maps (NCC can assist in completing the Project Proposal Form, reach out for assistance). The first should simply show the proposal

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Employment centers	Signalized intersections	Culverts/bridges	Park and Ride facilities	Transit service/public transportation routes	Recreational/multi-use trails	Bike lanes/sidewalks/crosswalks	Scenic/historic/cultural resources	Park/Recreation areas
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Municipal services/schools	Retail/tourism destinations	Low income, minority, elderly housing	Freight corridors	Commuter sheds	Wildlife habitats	Flood zones	Water resources, aquifers, wetlands	Active railroads

0

Other active or proposed transportation improvements

RPC Project Proposal Form

City/Town of:	Contact Person
North Country Council	Name:
☐ NH DOT	Address:
Transit Agency:	Dhana.
Other (Specify):	
	•
Road(s):	From/To:
Length:	City/Town:
Vicinity Map (Show general location within Community)	Description of Proposal Location
What problem(s) is the proposal addressing (Purpose)? s evidence available to support the need for the propo	sed project (Need)? For example crash history, turning movement counts,
Beyond those mentioned in the Purpose statement, who	nat other issues will be addressed by the proposed project (Goals and
	,

December, 2012

RPC Project Proposal Form

Describe the project proposal and identified scope of work	
,	
	!
,	
	i
What alternatives to the proposal described above have been considered?	
· ·	
	·
	·
Describe the extent of public outreach and involvement efforts to date and antic	insted future efforts for the properti
positing the extent of bank outrasen and management and to to date and and	pateu fature enorts for the proposal.
\hat{\chi}	
Is the proposal identified as a priority in a local or regional plan (e.g. local master	
is the proposal identified as a provity in a local or regional plan (e.g. local master study, etc). If yes, provide a link to the pertinent section of the plan(s).	pian, local bicycle/pedestrian pian, corridor
stady, etch if yes, provide a link to the pertinent section of the plants.	

December, 2012

RPC Project Proposal Form

Engineering: Right-of-Way: Construction: Structures: Capital: Operating Total: \$0 What is the source of the above cost estimate? Supporting Evidence and Documentation (Check if attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Construction: Structures: Capital: Operating Total: \$0 What is the source of the above cost estimate? Upporting Evidence and Documentation (Check If attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Structures: Capital: Operating Total: \$0 //hat is the source of the above cost estimate? Importing Evidence and Documentation (Check if attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Capital: Operating Total: \$0 That is the source of the above cost estimate? Ipporting Evidence and Documentation (Check if attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Total: \$0 Solution Solution	
Total: \$0 That is the source of the above cost estimate? Importing Evidence and Documentation (Check If attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Ipporting Evidence and Documentation (Check If attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
pporting Evidence and Documentation (Check if attached) Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Corridor Study (Attach excerpt) Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Local Transportation Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Regional Planning Study/Plan (attach excerpt) Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Crash Reports Turning Movment/Traffic Volume Data Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Special Studies (Road Safety Audit, Warrant Analysis, etc.) Ridership Estimates	
Ridership Estimates	
Safe Routes To School Travel Plan	
ttach a detailed map showing the proposal location and surroundings. Include the information listed below where the	iere are
etential impacts within or adjacent to the proposed project area. RPC will provide this map for each proposal.	
☐ Park/Recreation areas ☐ Water resources, aquifers, wet	lands
☐ Scenic/historic/cultural resources ☐ Flood zones	
☐ Bike lanes/sidewalks/crosswalks ☐ Wildlife habitats	
☐ Recreational/multi-use trails ☐ Commuter sheds	
☐ Freight corridors	
☐ Transit service/public transportation routes	
☐ Park and Ride facilities ☐ Low income, minority, elderly h	nousing
☐ Culverts/bridges ☐ Retail/tourism destinations	
☐ Signalized intersections ☐ Employment centers	
· · ·	
☐ Active railroads ☐ Municipal services/schools	
☐ Other active or proposed transportation improvements	
—	

December, 2012

RPC Project Proposal Form Attach Detail Map of proposal vicniity.

2017 Project Scoring Methodology

Determining Feasibility

- 1. Clear need for project in near to mid-term (next ten years)
- 2. Reasonable approach to addressing the transportation issue given existing resources.
- 3. Project is likely to receive necessary resource agency permits.
- 4. Clear local support/priority
- 5. Project is eligible for Federal Funding but isn't
 - a. Transportation Alternatives
 - b. Congestion Mitigation Air Quality
 - c. Highway Safety Improvement Program
 - d. Bridge/ Pavement maintenance and preservation programs
- 6. Likelihood of getting funded in the Ten Year Plan

DOT has recently developed Pavement and Bridge strategies that come into play in considering project proposals for the Ten Year Plan.

Pavement Strategy

- Tiered system where not all roads are equal
 - Tier 1 Interstates, Turnpikes & The divided section of Route 101
 - Tier 2 Major corridors (Like US 3, US 4, US 202, and Route 16)
 - Tier 3 Collectors (like Route 112, Route 31, and Route 155)
 - Tier 4 Secondary highways and unnumbered routes
- Make sustainable investments given limited resources
 - Preservation Keep the roads that are currently in good conditions in good condition. It
 is much less expensive to maintain them than to do higher cost remedial treatments for
 more badly damaged roadways.
- Maintenance Paving
 - Keep roads in working order through low cost paving treatments applied before the road surface becomes too rough. This means higher frequency paving instead of more infrequent (and much more costly) rehabilitation and reconstruction efforts.

Bridge Strategy

- Bridge Priorities (Tiers)
 - High Investment Bridges (1-93)
 - o Tier 1 Interstates, Turnpikes & The divided section of Route 101
 - Tier 2 Major corridors (Like US 3, US 4, US 202, and Route 16)
 - o Tier 3 Collectors (like Route 112, Route 31, and Route 155)
 - Tier 4 Secondary highways and unnumbered routes •
- Making Sustainable Investments
 - Keeping good bridges good Low cost routine and scheduled maintenance strategies will keep the bridges operating as long as possible before more substantial work is needed.
 - Restoring poor bridges Moderate cost rehabilitation of bridges that are further along in their life cycle

 Making a good bridge – Reconstructing or replace bridges that have reached the end of their life cycle and where replacement becomes a viable option from a financial perspective or is necessary from a structural perspective. This is an expensive process and each bridge will be evaluated as to whether it should be reconstructed, downposted, or closed.

Redundant Bridges

 Changes to the transportation system and travel patterns have made certain bridges redundant over time. Given limited resources, these bridges should be evaluated for continuing investment in the long term.

Scoring Projects

- Congestion: The extent to which the project is intended to reduce traveler delay. Estimated based on scope of project, location, and current levels of congestion.
- **Freight Mobility**: The degree to which the project impacts the movement of goods. Estimated based on perceived utility as a freight corridor.
- Alternative Modes: The extent to which the project impacts accommodations for alternative modes of travel. Does the project improve access to goods and services for people without a car.
- Traffic Volume: The highest volume project location receive the highest score and the lowest volume project location receives the lowest score.
- Facility Importance: Based on Functional classification. Higher classes of roadways receive higher scores. This reflects the "Tiered" approach desired by NHDOT.
- Safety measures: To what degree is the project oriented towards making the roadways safer. Is the project purpose primarily safety or is it something else.
- Safety Performance: Relative crash frequency at the location based on the last 5 years of available data. Crash severity is factored as well.
- State of Repair: Roads and Bridges are listed separately but it is a single criterion. The physical condition of the road or bridge. Roadways in better condition will score higher and bridges in the worst condition will score higher. Currently this is based on the same information from 2013 but will be updated when the new data is received from NHDOT
- Support: The degree to which the project supports the vision, goals, and objectives of the
 community and region. This looks for support of the project by local and regional
 boards/committees, in local and regional planning documents (corridor studies, Master Plan,
 Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy), as well as potential economic benefits, and
 opportunities to leverage other sources of funding. In addition, the project must be compatible
 with the principles, goals, and objectives of the Regional Long Range Transportation Plan.

The weighting of each of the criteria will be determined at the NCC TAC meeting in January of 2017. This document will be updated at that time to reflect the appropriate percentage weights for each of the criteria.

Colebrook	Colebrook	Colebrook	Colebrook	Colebrook		Colobrook	Colebrook	000	Colehrook	Colebrook		Colebrook		BERLIN	BEKEN		BERLIN		BERLIN		Albany		Albany		Albany		Albany		Albany		Albany		Albany		PROJECT_NAME
40655	40652	40652	40652	40640	40010		40640	10001	40651	40651		40651		15792	15/92		15792		15792		29597		29597		29597		29597		29597		29597		29597		
Pleasant Street over M	Bear Rock Road over W	Bear Rock Road over W	Bear Rock Road over W	US 3 / Main Street	03 3 / Maill Street	He of Adding Council	US 3 / Main Street	Hallack Pascil Warn Osci	Harvey Swell Board over	Harvey Swell Road ove		Harvey Swell Road ove		HILLSIDE AVENUE	HILLSIDE AVENUE		HILLSIDE AVENUE		HILLSIDE AVENUE		NH 16		NH 16		NH 16		NH 16		NH 16		NH 16		NH 16		NUMBER_S ROUTE/ROAD
Pleasant Street over Moha River-Br. #050/099	Bear Rock Road over West Branch (Mohawk River) Br. #167/120 Bridge Rehabilitation-Pleasant Street over Mohawk	Bear Rock Road over West Branch (Mohawk River) Br. #167/120 Bridge Replacement-Bear Rock Road over West	Bear Rock Road over West Branch (Mohawk River) Br. #167/120 Bridge Replacement-Bear Rock Road over West	South Main Street traffic island to Beaver Brook Bridge Replacement-Bear Rock Road over West	Reconstruction of about 2700' of US 3/Main St from	Reconstruction of about 2700' of US 3/Main St from	South Main Street traffic island to Beaver Brook	Reconstruction of about 2700' of US 3/Main St from	r Ea. Branch-Br. #100/100	Harvey Swell Road over Ea: Branch-Br. #190/109 Rridge Rehabilitation-Harvey Swell Road over East	Bridge Rehabilitation-Harvey Swell Road over East	Harvey Swell Road over Ea: Branch-Br. #190/109	Bridge Rehabilitation-Harvey Swell Road over East	bridge Replacement-miliside Avende över Dead River- Br. #232/066	Br. #232/066	Bridge Replacement-Hillside Avenue over Dead River-	Br. #232/066	Bridge Replacement-Hillside Avenue over Dead River-	Br. #232/066	Bridge Replacement-Hillside Avenue over Dead River-	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	enable installation of centerline rumble strips	Shoulder widening and pavement resurfacing to	SCOPE
РE	Construction	ROW	PE	Construction	ROW		PΕ	Construction	Construction	ROW		윤		Construction	Construction		ROW		Æ		Construction		Construction		ROW		ROW		ROW		윤		PE		PHASE_CODE
SAB *	SAB *	SAB *	SAB *	None-Highway	None-righway		None-Highway	343	C A D *	SAB *		SAB *	!	SAB *	NON-PAR (other)		SAB *		SAB *		None-Highway		None-Highway		None-Highway		None-Highway		None-Highway		None-Highway		None-Highway		PROGRAM_CODE FISCAL_YEAR
2022	2021	2021	2021	2020	6107		2019	1202	2021	2021		2021		2017	2017		2017		2017		2023		2024		2018		2020		2021		2018		2020		SCAL YEAR
ŧ/s	₩	❖	❖	Ś	v	,	₩	4	n.	❖	•	·γ	4	ιΛ	٠	•	Ś		Ś		❖		s	,	v	,	s	•	Ś		₩		¢,		
81,940.11	578,480.82	5,671.38	102,084.85	1,813,522.87	5,857.83	1	263,593.44	101,404.10	101 404 10	5,671.38		68,056.57		583.763.00	5,788.00		5,000.00		64,900.00		5,311,000.37		2,398,000.32		85.140.00		657,704.29		77,357.63		340,560.00		1,097,785.84	ţ	DOLLARS INF IND

DUMMER	Conway	Conway	Conway	CONWAY	Conway	Conway	Conway	Conway	Conway	COLUMBIA	COLUMBIA	COLUMBIA	Colebrook	Colebrook
15815	40638	40638	40638	15864	40018	40018	40018	40018	40018	16302	16302	16302	40655	40655
OLD NH 110	NH16, NH 113 and	NH16, NH 113 and	NH16, NH 113 and	US 302	NH 16	US 3	US 3	US 3	Pleasant Street ove	Pleasant Street ove				
Bridge Rehabilitation-Old Route 110 over Upper Ammonoosuc River-Br. #042/043	Roundabout improvements to NH 16 including NH16, NH 113 and NH 153 intersections of NH 16/NH 153 and NH 16/NH 113	Roundabout improvements to NH 16 including NH16, NH 113 and NH 153 intersections of NH 16/NH 153 and NH 16/NH 113	Roundabout improvements to NH 16 including NH16, NH 113 and NH 153 intersections of NH 16/NH 153 and NH 16/NH 113	US 302 OVER CONWAY LAKE OUTLET - REPLACE BRIDGE	Reconstruct NH 16 from Olympic Lane east 0.60 miles to Village Lane - multi funded	Reconstruct NH 16 from Olympic Lane east 0.60 miles to Village Lane - multi funded	Reconstruct NH 16 from Olympic Lane east 0.60 miles to Village Lane - multi funded	Reconstruct NH 16 from Olympic Lane east 0.60 miles to Village Lane - multi funded	Reconstruct NH 16 from Olympic Lane east 0.60 miles to Village Lane - multi funded	US 3 OVER CONE BROOK - 059/089 - REHABILITATE / REPLACE BRIDGE	US 3 OVER CONE BROOK - 059/089 - REHABILITATE / REPLACE BRIDGE	US 3 OVER CONE BROOK - 059/089 - REHABILITATE / REPLACE BRIDGE	Pleasant Street over Moha River-Br. #050/099	Bridge Rehabilitation-Pleasant Street over Mohawk Pleasant Street over Moha River-Br. #050/099 Bridge Rehabilitation-Pleasant Street over Mohawk
Construction	Construction	ROW	PE	Construction	Construction	Construction	Construction	Construction	Construction	Construction	ROW	PE	Construction	ROW
SAB *	None-Highway	None-Highway	None-Highway	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	SAH *	NON-PAR (other)	Other Fed Aid	NON-PAR (other)	Other Fed Aid	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	SAB *	SAB *
2017	2025	2024	2022	2018	2017	2017	2017	2018	2018	2019	2017	2017	2022	2022
Ϋ́	₩	₩	45	₩	₩	₩	₩.	₩.	₩	₩	\$	₩	₩	❖
956,841.00	4,390,783.80	250,272.67	469,985.04	2,889,600.00	300,000.00	600,000.00	660,000.00	206,400.00	227,040.00	1,171,526.40	55,000.00	110,000.00	380,436.21	5,852.86

JEFFERSON-RANDOLPH 130	Jackson 27	Jackson 27	Jackson 27	Jackson 27		Jackson 27	Jackson 27	=	Lawshill 10	Franconia-Littleton 40		Franconia-Littleton 40	ייפורטוומ-בורנובנטוו אס		Franconia 24		EATON 15		EATON 15		EATON 15		CAMBRIDGE - ERROL 16:	۳,	Dimmer 16								
13602C	27709	27709	27709	60//7		27709	27709	10200	3	40514		40514		40514		40514		40514		40514	40014		24497		15997		15997		15997		16304	3	VPUE91
US 2	NH Route 16	NH Route 16	NH Route 16	NH Koute 16		NH Route 16	NH Route 16	ואווון מנו כפר	At Change	l-93		l-93		l-93		-93		l-93		-93	1-95	3	NH 18		ROBERTS ROAD		ROBERTS ROAD		ROBERTS ROAD		NH 16	200000	NH Pouts 16
Townline.	16 over Ellis River (Br No 144/056) Improvements from NU 115 to lefforce /Bandolph	16 over Ellis River (Br No 144/056)	16 over Ellis River (Br No 144/056) Bridge Benlese /Behab for Bedlick Bridge Corpoins NU	To over Fills River (Br No 144/056) Bridge Replace/Rehab, for Redlist Bridge carrying NH	Bridge Replace/Rehab, for Redlist Bridge carrying NH	16 over Ellis River (Br No 144/056)	16 over Ellis River (Br No 144/056) Bridge Replace/Rehab, for Redlist Bridge carrying NH	Bridge Replace/Rehab, for Redlist Bridge carrying NH	Bridge Replace of Redlist Bridge carrying Mill Street	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	north of exit 42 includes Exits 35 thru 42	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	Pavement joint south of Exit 35 to Pavement joint	carrying NH 18 over Lafayette Brook (Br No 089/099)	NH 18, superstructure replacement for bridge	#078/114	Bridge Replacement-Roberts Rd over Snow Brook-Br.	#078/114	Bridge Replacement-Roberts Rd over Snow Brook-Br.	#078/114	Bridge Replacement-Roberts Rd over Snow Brook-Br.	Errol extending south 10 miles	Widening and rehabilitation where needed from	Widen and Rehabiliate from a point 0.3 miles north
PE	ΡE	PΕ	PΕ	÷	¦	PΕ	Construction	Construction		Construction		Construction		ROW		ROW		PE		PE	7)	Construction		Construction		ROW		곮		Construction	Collect decion) Property of the contract of
Other Fed Aid	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-11-2-Kenab		Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	onug-15-4-nenao	Did To A Datab	Pave-T1-Rehab		Pave-T1-Rehab	Pave-II-Renau		Bridg-T3-4-Rehab		SAB *		SAB *		SAB *	0	None-Highway		Other End Aid								
2021	2017	2018	2019	2020		2021	2023	2020		2025		2026		2022		2023		2022		2023	4707		2023		2019		2019		2019		2018	101	2017
₩	₩	₩.	\$	v	٠	↔	₩	1	-	₩		⊹		¢		❖		❖		₩	•	>	\$		⋄		ς.		s	,	v	-	n
561,466.68	220,000.00	113,520.00	234,305.28	120,901.52		249,540.75	6,644,172.10	1,203,013.24	2	14,152,405.49		14,605,282.47		64,381.51		66,441.72		128,763.03		132,883,44	13/,135./1		3,322,086.05		738,381.14	,	5,325.12	,	95,817.01		3,065,040.00	4,200,000.00	3 300 000 00

Littleton, NH - Waterford, Vt	Littleton, NH - Waterford, Vt	Waterford, Vt	Waterford, Vt Littleton, NH -	LITTLETON Littleton, NH -	LITTLETON	LITTLETON	Guildhall, VT	Guildhall, VT Lancaster, NH -	Lancaster, NH -	Lancaster, NH -	ancacter	Lancaster	JEFFERSON-RANDOLPH		JEFFERSON-RANDOLPH	JEFFERSON-RANDOLPH	JEFFERSON-RANDOLPH					
27711	27711	27711	27711	40884	40884	40884	16155	16155	16155	16155	16155	16155	16155	, P	22192	22192	H 13602C		Н 13602С	Н 13602С	н 13602С	
NH Route 18	NH Route 18	NH Route 18	NH Route 18	US-302, Bridge St, Sarana	US-302, Bridge St, Sarana	US-302, Bridge St, Sarana	US 2		Mount Orne Road	Mount Orne Road	US 2		US 2	US 2	US 2							
Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)	Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)	carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)	carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134) Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge	US-302, Bridge St, Saranac Bridge Street, and Saranac St - safety improvement Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge	Reconstruct intersection of US-302 (Meadow St), US-302, Bridge St, Saranac Bridge Street, and Saranac St - safety improvement Reconstruct intersection of US-302 (Meadow St),	US-302, Bridge St, Saranac Bridge Street, and Saranac St - safety improvement	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Reconstruct intersection of US-207 (Meadow St)	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	(Roger's Ranger's Bridge) Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	Bridge Replacement, US 2 over Connecticut River	Mount Orne Road over Connecticut River; Mount Orne Covered Bridge #030/105	Orne Covered Bridge #039/105	Townline. Mount Orne Road over Connecticut River: Mount	Improvements from NH 115 to Jefferson/Randolph	Improvements from NH 115 to Jefferson/Randolph Townline.	Townline.	Townline. Improvements from NH 115 to Jefferson/Randolph	Improvements from NH 115 to Jefferson/Randolph
PΕ	P	PE	PE	Construction	Construction	ROW	Construction	Construction	Construction	ROW	ROW	PE	PΕ	Construction		æ	Construction	i	ROW.	ROW	PΕ	
Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	SAH *	NON-PAR (other)	NON-PAR (other)	NON-PAR (Vermo	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	NON-PAR (Vermo	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	NON-PAR (Vermo	Bridg-T1-2-Rehab	OAB *	*	SAB *	Other Fed Aid		Other Fed Aid	Other Fed Aid	Other Fed Aid	
2020	2021	2022	2023	2018	2018	2017	2019	2019	2020	2017	2017	2018	2018	8107		2018	2023	17071	3031	2022	2020	
₩.	⋄	⋄	₩	₩	₩.	₩	₩	\$	÷	Ş	↔	⋄	s	ď	•	₩	\$	·	<u></u>	❖	₩	
219,820.95	113,427.61	117,057.30	241,606.26	309,600.00	154,800.00	450,000.00	958,521.60	4,260,096.00	4,506,329.55	100,000.00	100,000.00	28,896.00	115,584.00	288,960.00		20,640.00	7,973,006.52	311,923.93	311 025 03	321,907.56	544,056.86	

North Haverhill	North Haverhill	North Haverhill	North Haverhill	North Haverhill	North Haverhill	Milan	Milan	Milan	Milan	Milan	Milan	Milan	Milan	Littleton, NH - Waterford, Vt	Littleton, NH - Waterford, Vt	Littleton, NH - Waterford, Vt
40579	40579	40579	40579	40579	40579	40576	40576	40576	40576	40576	40576	40576	40576	27711	27711	27711
Dean Memorial Airport	Dean Memorial Airport	Dean Memorial Airport	Dean Memorial Airport	Dean Memorial Airport	Dean Memorial Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	Berlin Regional Airport	NH Route 18	NH Route 18	NH Route 18
airport facilities; planning studies.	airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation modernization and/or expansion of	airport facilities; planning studies. Presentation modernization and/or expansion of	airport facilities; planning studies. Presentation modernization and/or expansion of	airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation modernization and/or expansion of	airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of airport facilities; planning studies.	Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)	Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)	Deck replacement and painting of Redlist Bridge carrying NH 118 over Connecticut River (109/134)
Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Construction	ROW	Ð
Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improven	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab
2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017	2019	2020	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2025	2019	2019
₩	❖	❖	❖	⋄	❖	₩	₩	₩	¢,	φ	₩	₩	₩	w	Φ.	\$
160,000.00	95,556.00	111,111.00	444,444.00	352,000.00	165,000.00	333,333.00	333,333.00	1,100,001.00	333,333.00	333,333.00	166,667.00	333,333.00	400,000.00	5,146,329.27	53,251.20	106,502.40

Shelburne Shelburne Sheiburne Shelburne Shelburne Shelburne Shelburne Shelburne RUMNEY RUMNEY RUMNEY NORTHUMBERLAND NORTHUMBERLAND North Haverhill North Haverhill North Haverhill 14234 14234 40579 40579 40363 27162 27162 27162 40551 40551 40363 40363 40363 40363 40579 40551 **BUFFALO ROAD OVER BRO BR. #093/082** Dean Memorial Airport US Route 2 US Route 2 **BUFFALO ROAD OVER BRO BR. #093/082 BUFFALO ROAD OVER BRO BR. #093/082 BROOKLYN STREET BROOKLYN STREET** Dean Memorial Airport Dean Memorial Airport North Road North Road North Road US Route 2 US Route 2 US Route 2 airport facilities; planning studies airport facilities; planning studies airport facilities; planning studies Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113) Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113) Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113) Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Route 2 over Pea Brook (Br No 049/089) Bridge Rehabilitation of Redlist Bridge carrying US Route 2 over Pea Brook (Br No 049/089) Bridge Rehabilitation of Redlist Bridge carrying US Route 2 over Pea Brook (Br No 049/089) Bridge Rehabilitation of Redlist Bridge carrying US Route 2 over Pea Brook (Br No 049/089) Bridge Rehabilitation of Redlist Bridge carrying US Route 2 over Pea Brook (Br No 049/089) Bridge Rehabilitation of Redlist Bridge carrying US BRIDGE REPLACEMENT-BUFFALO RD OVER BROOK-BRIDGE REPLACEMENT-BUFFALO RD OVER BROOK-BRIDGE REPLACEMENT-BUFFALO RD OVER BROOK-BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OVER ROARING BROOK -108/114 Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of 108/114 BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OVER ROARING BROOK -Construction Construction SAB * Construction SAB * Other Other Other ROW RO₩ RO₩ R 유 Ä ᇛ В Æ 胺 Bridg-T1-2-Rehab SAB * Bridg-T3-4-Rehab Bridg-T3-4-Rehab Bridg-T3-4-Rehab Bridg-T1-2-Rehab Bridg-T1-2-Rehab Bridg-T1-2-Rehab Bridg-T1-2-Rehab SAB * SAB * Airport Improvem Airport Improvem Airport Improverr 2024 2020 2025 2022 2019 2019 2020 2021 2019 2022 2018 2020 2022 2024 2022 2024 ₩ 4 S S 'n Ś S s Ś Ś s Ś 'n Ś Ś 1,755,859.43 1,676,141.24 241,803.05 274,271.42 283,048.11 106,502,40 113,427.61 427,259.13 210,000.00 150,000.00 180,000.00 53,251.20 54,955.24 93,645.84 5,852.86 5,141.72

Programmatic projects are shown with full program funding and their breakout projects are listed.

Wentworth	Wentworth	Thornton	Thornton	Thornton	Thornton	Thornton	THORNTON	SUGAR HILL	SUGAR HILL	SUGAR HILL	STEWARTSTOWN, NH - CANAAN, VT	STEWARTSTOWN, NH - CANAAN, VT	Shelburne	Shelburne	Shelburne
40648	40648	40613	40613	40613	40613	40613	15938	24218	24218	24218	15838	15838	40551	40551	40551
Frescoin Road over Rocky Branch-Br. #108/070	Bridge Replacement- Frescoln Road over Rocky Branch-Br. #108/070 Bridge Replacement	NH 49	NH 49	NH 49	NH 49	NH 49	COVERED BRIDGE ROAD	CRANE HILL ROAD	CRANE HILL ROAD	CRANE HILL ROAD	BRIDGE STREET	BRIDGE STREET	North Road	North Road	North Road
Branch-Br. #108/070	Bridge Replacement-Frescoin Road over Rocky Bridge Replacement-Frescoin Road over Rocky	bridge carrying NH 49 over Mad River Br No 239/152	Deck Replacement and Scour Protection for the bridge carrying NH 49 over Mad River Br No 239/152	Deck Replacement and Scour Protection for the bridge carrying NH 49 over Mad River Br No 239/152	Deck Replacement and Scour Protection for the bridge carrying NH 49 over Mad River Br No 239/152	Deck Replacement and Scour Protection for the bridge carrying NH 49 over Mad River Br No 239/152	Bridge Rehabilitation-Covered Bridge Rd over Mill Brook-Br. #183/107	BRIDGE REHAB-CRANE HILL RD OVER GALE RIVER-BR. #202/128	BRIDGE REHAB-CRANE HILL RD OVER GALE RIVER-BR. #202/128	BRIDGE REHAB-CRANE HILL RD OVER GALE RIVER-BR. #202/128	Bridge Street Bridge rehabilitation over Connecticut River	Bridge Street Bridge rehabilitation over Connecticut River	Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113)	Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113)	Bridge Rehabilitation of the bridge carrying North Road over Androscoggin River (Br No 075/113)
ROW	PΕ	Construction	ROW	PΕ	PΕ	PΕ	Construction	Construction	ROW	P	Construction	Construction	Construction	ROW	₽E
SAB *	SAB *	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	SAB *	SAB *	SAB *	SAB *	NON-PAR (Vermo	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab
2020	2020	2024	2019	2019	2020	2021	2018	2022	2022	2022	2017	2017	2026	2019	2019
\$	₩	₩	₩	₩	φ	\$	₩	₩	₩	w	Ś	⋄	₩	٠	₩
10,991.05	131,892.57	4,986,753.17	53,251.20	213,004.80	219,820.95	226,855.22	651,811.20	889,635.45	5,852.86	117,057.30	255,000.00	720,500.00	4,819,743.22	58,576.32	234,305.28

Programmatic projects are shown with full program funding and their breakout projects are listed.

Bridge Replacement-Frescoln Road over Rocky

Woodstock	Woodstock	Woodstock	Woodstock	Woodstock	Woodstock	Whitefield	Whitefield	Whitefield	Whitefield	Whitefield	Whitefield	Wnitefield		Whitefield	WHITEFIELD		WHITEFIELD	WHITEFIELD		Wentworth
2:	2:	2:	2	2	2	4.	4	4	40	40	4	4		4						4
27713	27713	27713	27713	27713	27713	40578	40578	40578	40578	40578	40578	40578		40578	16025		16025	16025		40648
NH Route 175	NH Route 175	NH Route 175	NH Route 175	NH Route 175	NH Route 175	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	Mt. Washington Regional	C	Mt. Washington Regional	HAZEN ROAD		HAZEN ROAD	HAZEN ROAD		Frescoln Road over Rocky (Branch-Br. #108/070
Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Pemigewasset River (177/148)	Mt. Washington Regional & airport facilities; planning studies.	Mt. Washington Regional 4 airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation modernization and/or expansion of	Mt. Washington Regional ι airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Mt. Washington Regional \(\ell\) airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Mt. Washington Regional 1 airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Mt. Washington Regional £ airport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Mt. Washington Regional Jairport facilities; planning studies. Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	Preservation, modernization, and/or expansion of	r leservation, inquernization, anglor expansion of Mt. Washington Regional Fairport facilities: planning studies.	#159/098	Bridge Rehabilitation-Hazen Rd over Johns River-Br.	#159/098	#159/098 Bridge Rehabilitation-Hazen Rd over Johns River-Ar	Bridge Rehabilitation-Hazen Rd over Johns River-Br.	Branch-Br. #108/070
PE	PR	윤	ΡE	PE	PE	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Ċ.	Other	ROW		Construction	PΕ	COLDER OCCION	Construction
Bridg-T3- 4 -Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Bridg-T3-4-Rehab	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improven	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Airport Improvem	Suborcumbiosen	Airport Improver	SAB *	į	SAR *	SAB *	Č	SAR *
2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	2024	2025	2020	3006	2019	Č	2010	2019	2020	לנטנ
⇔	٠	₩	₩	₩	↔	❖	❖	⋄	₩	₩	w	₩	v	n.	₩	4	٨	\$	€.	٠
106,502.40	219,820.95	113,427.61	234,114.59	120,803.13	249,337.66	611,111.00	222,222.00	444,444.00	277,778.00	222,222.00	833,333.00	1,111,111.00	527,778.00	E37 778 00	5,325.12	134,420.40	15/ 400 40	26,625.60	/03,42/.05	407 05

Bridge Rehab of bridge carrying NH 175 over Woodstock 27713 NH Route 175 Pemigewasset River (177/148) Construction Bridg-T3-4-Rehab 2024 \$ 3,740,064.88
--